

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/658,306	09/09/2003	Nancy L. Saxon	60,130-1626; 02MRA0440	60,130-1626; 02MRA0440 2157	
26096 75	90 09/14/2006		EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			ILAN, RUTH		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD SUITE 350			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			3616		
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/658,306	SAXON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ruth Ilan	3616		

	Ruth Ilan	3616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a) 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply not date of the final rejection. If date of the final rejection, dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mail in the control of th	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C nust be filed within one h in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t	out prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NOw);	OTE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially r	caacing or simplifying	1110 133403 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	(· · · · - · - · /·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attact	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	Ruth Ilan Primary Examiner	9/9/06
		Art Unit: 3616	'1''

Application No. 10/658,306

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner is not persuaded by the Applicants arguments. Regarding the combination of Robey and VanDenberg, VanDenberg teaches the desirability of a paralleogram suspension, the use of the hangar, in view of the teaching of VanDenberg would follow logically, and obviously. Additionally, VanDenberg does not teach that the parallelogram suspension may be used without a hanger. Regarding Hutchens, Hutchens is replete with advantages related to the integral suspension hangers and the economic savings and time savings associated with such a slider. Hutchens additionally does not teach that such hangers are only useful for systems with adjustable torque arms, but rather that alignment problems that are not necessarily remedied by adjustable torque arms can be helped by the integral hangers.